
MSA

2 messages

Elizabeth Reynolds <ereynolds@thacher.org>
To: Bert Mahoney <bert.mahoney@gmail.com>

Sat, Jul 26, 2025 at 8:04 PM

Hi Bert,

Thank you for your response and for agreeing to the core values of the retirement assets and education estimates.

I appreciate your breakdown of the community debt, though I continue to disagree with the allocation percentages you've proposed. While I recognize that some debts were in your name, that does not necessarily change the nature of them being **marital/community obligations**. An uneven debt split may not reflect how those debts were incurred or the broader financial picture of our marriage. **Moreover, if you do not plan to liquidate retirement assets to pay the debts, then how can I be assured that the debts are paid and thus relieve me of my obligations? Furthermore, I will be paying over \$140K in liquid assets for Darragh's college (airfare, books and misc. expenses - which I didn't include the original estimate because I wasn't yet aware of the extent of those costs), paying my own debts and ongoing expenses, and continuing my financial support of Aidan and Declan. Your request of \$145,000 means I will be paying almost \$300,000 in liquid assets.**

My \$80,000 offer remains on the table. It is a liquid cash payment, requires no delay, and fairly reflects both your share of the retirement assets *adjusted for taxes and penalties* and the community obligations you've already contributed toward.

- Liz

Bert Mahoney <bert.mahoney@gmail.com>
To: Darrell Ritchie <fdrboulder@hotmail.com>

Tue, Aug 5, 2025 at 11:15 AM

How do I say. The debts in my name.

I continue to disagree with debt allocation as well. I have more, its destroyed my credit, and it doesnt impact you. Has a debt collector contacted her? NO!

Also, she's paying for Darragh, Great – but she also PAID \$100 for me to live on for over a year.

WTAF is going on in her head?

80k???

WTAF??

[Quoted text hidden]